Structural and dynamical fingerprints of the anomalous dielectric properties of water under confinement
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There is a long-standing question about the molecular configuration of interfacial water molecules in the proximity of solid surfaces, particularly carbon atoms, which plays a crucial role in electrochemistry and biology. In this study, the dielectric, structural, and dynamical properties of confined water placed between two parallel graphene walls at different interdistances from the angstrom scale to a few tens of nanometer have been investigated using molecular dynamics. For the dielectric properties of water, we show that the dielectric constant of the perpendicular component of water drastically decreases under sub-2-nm spatial confinement. The dielectric constant data obtained through linear response and fluctuation-dissipation theory are consistent with recent reported experimental results [L. Fumagalli et al., Science 360, 1339 (2018)]. By determining the charge density as well as fluctuations in the number of atoms, we provide a molecular rationale for the behavior of the perpendicular dielectric response function. We also interpret the behavior of the dielectric response in terms of the presence of dangling O-H bonds of water. By examining the residence time and lateral diffusion constant of water under confinement, we reveal that the water molecules tend to keep their hydrogen bond networks at the interface of water-graphene. We also found consistency between lateral diffusion and the z-component of variance in the center of mass of the system as a function of confinement.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.024008

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the behavior of interfacial water governs both its rheology and its physical properties in a wide range of phenomena in nature [1–3]. To understand the interaction and structure of water in contact with solid surfaces, various experimental and theoretical efforts have been applied in the literature. One of the most studied systems in this regard is the behavior of water near hydrophobic interfaces, specifically near graphene [4–20]. Due to the obvious general relevance, the graphene/water interface has been an attractive system to simulate and study the dynamics of water. This has numerous practical implications. In particular, the presence of water in ultranarrow slits and membranes in biology and porous electrodes in electrochemistry makes the graphene-water system the subject of numerous studies [4–21].

It is well appreciated that water near interfaces is perturbed both in terms of its structural and dynamical properties. In the case of hydrophilic surfaces, water forms interactions with the interface and subsequently leads to a slow-down in the water dynamics by a factor of about 4–7. On the other hand, near hydrophobic interfaces, there is a length scale dependence to the dewetting behavior [1]. As one brings two interfaces close to each other, we enter the regime of confined water. There have been many experimental and theoretical studies investigating how both thermodynamic and dynamical properties of water change under confinement [22–41]. It is beyond the scope of the current paper to review all this literature. However, we note that there are several interesting thermodynamic and dynamic anomalies that have been observed when water is placed in confined conditions. In this work, we focus on how dielectric properties of water change when sandwiched between two graphene sheets.

In previous reports, it was shown that the graphene-water interface induces an orientational polarization of water in close proximity to the surface. This orientational configuration directly affects other properties of water at the interface, such as the dielectric response [22–38]. However, there have been relatively fewer studies investigating how the dielectric constant of water changes under confinement using both experiments and simulations.

Recently, Fumagalli and co-workers [42] have used atomic force microscopy (AFM) methods to study the molecular polarization of water molecules by applying ac voltage between the AFM tip and the surface of graphite. The results of this experiment confirm the suppression of the polarizability of confined water within nanocapillaries. Because of the possible arrangement of interfacial molecules and bulk water, there are different charge distributions in these two regimes resulting in a surface capacitance. This feature has been used in order to measure the perpendicular dielectric constant of water under various confinements. It is known that the perpendicular component of the dielectric constant is related to the zeta potential and surface capacitance [43,44].

One of the features of water that makes it unique is its large polarizability. This gives bulk water its large static dielectric constant. Several previous studies have examined
how the dielectric properties of water change under confinement [31,34]. Water confined between two graphene walls exhibits anomalous behavior because of the suppression of polarization. This results in drastically low values of perpendicular dielectric response [35]. In addition, it is worth noting that the structuring of water near interfaces in general is thought to be the dominant factor in controlling the position-dependent dielectric permittivity of the system [36].

The motivation for the calculations reported in this work come from some recent experiments measuring the anomalous dielectric properties of water under confinement [42]. Specifically, in this work by using a combination of a height-tunable method for two-dimensional capillaries made by atomically flat walls, and scanning dielectric microscopy through electrostatic force detection by means of AFM, the molecular polarization of confined water has been measured. In this experiment, by applying a low-frequency ac voltage between the AFM tip and the bottom of the graphite electrode, the tip-substrate can be identified as a first derivative of local capacitance of water rather well. The density of water is 1 g/mL in slab geometries, ranging from 534 (a monolayer of water) up to 184 206 molecules for nearly bulk conditions, which span different layered structures of confined water molecules. In version 5.2 of the GROMACS package [46], we have used the force field proposed by Werder et al. [30] for water-carbon interactions. This potential has previously been validated by reproducing the contact angle of water near graphene [30]. The temperature of the system for all simulations is 298.15 K using the Nose-Hoover thermostat [47,48]. The graphene walls consist of carbon atoms with zero charge, and they are completely flat and parallel to each other. Periodic boundary conditions were applied in all three directions. The box dimensions are 6.63 nm × 6.95 nm × (Lz + 2 nm), where Lz is the distance between graphene walls between which the water is placed.

Simulations were run for 0.2 µs for each distance below 100 Å and 10 ns for the thicker height of two-dimensional slits, using a 1 fs time step. The truncated radius for the Lennard-Jones interactions is r = 1.4 nm using the Verlet scheme, and the particle mesh Ewald (PME) summation [49] is used for treating the electrostatic interactions. A schematic view of the graphene-water channel system is shown in Fig. 1.

III. RESULTS

A. Dielectric response

In this section, we present our analysis of the perpendicular dielectric response of water and how it changes as a function of distance from the surface. The change in dielectric
used in our simulations is the following: the dielectric response across the graphene-water channel that is subsequent sections.

oscillations and relate them to other properties of water in dielectric function \( [31–34] \). We will discuss further these molecules. Therefore, water dipolar high polarization induces due to the external field overscreening of interfacial water components of the dielectric constant that acquire even negative there are some marked oscillations in the perpendicular component of the dielectric constant for different wall distances as a function of height \( z \). Different thicknesses of water slabs are (a) \( L_z = 7.5 \text{ Å} \), (b) \( L_z = 8.5 \text{ Å} \), (c) \( L_z = 14.4 \text{ Å} \), and (d) \( L_z = 24.8 \text{ Å} \).

displacement field is related linearly to the change of the electric field in the linear-response regime. In Appendix A, the linear response theory of a dielectric constant has been reviewed \([25,34,35,39,40]\). The most relevant equation for the dielectric response across the graphene-water channel that is used in our simulations is the following:

\[
\varepsilon_\perp^{-1}(z) = 1 - \frac{\Delta m_\perp(z)}{\varepsilon_0 k_B T + C_\perp/V}, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \Delta m_\perp(z) \) is the polarization along the \( z \) direction, and \( C_\perp \) is the polarization correlation function integrated over height \( z \) as calculated in Appendix A. \( V \) is the volume of the water slab. \( T, k_B, \) and \( \varepsilon_0 \) are the temperature, Boltzmann’s constant, and vacuum permittivity, respectively.

The calculated results for the inverse of the perpendicular component of dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_\perp \) as a function of the interdistance of graphene walls for a different water slab are presented in Fig. 2. In close proximity to the graphene surfaces, the dielectric function of water in the perpendicular direction is significantly reduced, consistent with previous studies \([25,30,34,41,50–53]\). Interestingly, under confinement there are some marked oscillations in the perpendicular component of the dielectric constant that acquire even negative values near the interface. Generally, the negative values are due to the external field overscreening of interfacial water molecules. Therefore, water dipolar high polarization induces an inverse electric field, resulting in negative values of the dielectric function \([31–34]\). We will discuss further these oscillations and relate them to other properties of water in subsequent sections.

FIG. 2. Inverse of the perpendicular component of the dielectric constant for different wall distances as a function of height \( z \). Gray and blue vertical dashed lines indicate the surfaces of graphene and water, respectively. Different thicknesses of water slabs are (a) \( L_z = 7.5 \text{ Å} \), (b) \( L_z = 8.5 \text{ Å} \), (c) \( L_z = 14.4 \text{ Å} \), and (d) \( L_z = 24.8 \text{ Å} \).

To relate the perpendicular component of the dielectric constant shown earlier to the experimentally measured effective dielectric constant \([42]\), some preliminary steps are needed. Integrating \( \varepsilon_\perp^{-1} \) in Eq. (1) over the channel results in Eq. (2), which has two unknowns \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \) and \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp \). Since we have two of these unknown parameters, we decided to determine the value of \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \) by first using the value of \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp \) for the smallest confined system, and using that to reverse engineer the value of \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \). Specifically, we used the initial value \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp = 1.4 \) for \( L_z = 7.5 \text{ Å} \) experimentally measured as the narrowest inter-distance between graphene walls, and we obtained \( L_\text{eff} - L_z = 0.94 \text{ Å} \) \([40]\). Assuming that the difference between \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \) and \( L_z \) remains constant for higher \( L_z \), and therefore by knowing \( L_\text{eff} \), we can determine the \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp \) for all \( L_z \). These results are shown in Fig. 3. For clarity, the reader is reminded that in Eq. (2), \( L_w \) corresponds to the length of the region where the density of water is nonzero (the distance between two blue-dashed lines in Fig. 9 in Appendix B).

\[
\int_{L_w/2}^{L_w/2} \varepsilon_\perp^{-1}(z) dz = L_\text{eff}^\perp \frac{1}{\varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp} - 1 + L_w. \tag{2}
\]

Using Eq. (2), \( L_\text{eff} \) can be calculated from \( L_w \). Assuming the same values for the difference between acquired \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \) and \( L_w \) for other confinements, we can calculate \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp \) for all other water slab thicknesses. As we can observe from Fig. 3, the perpendicular component is very low and close to 1.4 in all slab thicknesses less than \( \sim 15 \text{ Å} \), and then it increases to bulk values gradually. The trend of our calculated results is in good agreement with recently reported experimental data \([42]\). Interestingly, the length scale required for the dielectric constant to converge to the bulk value is quite large (over 1000 Å), and it is much longer than that needed to converge radial and orientational correlations.

We next turn to examining the evolution of the hydrogen bond network in order to understand why the dielectric properties change under confinement. We begin first by examining how the orientation of water changes near the graphene layer. To analyze the orientation of hydrogen bonds as a function of \( z \) in the slab of water, we calculated the time-averaged \( z \) component of dipole moments for water molecules. The maximum

FIG. 3. Computational (blue data) and experimental (red data) perpendicular component of the dielectric constant for different distances of graphene walls. Corresponding dashed lines indicate the bulk values of the SPC/E water model (\( \sim 71 \)) and pure water. The error bars of computational data are small, staying inside of each data circle.

To relate the perpendicular component of the dielectric constant shown earlier to the experimentally measured effective dielectric constant \([42]\), some preliminary steps are needed. Integrating \( \varepsilon_\perp^{-1} \) in Eq. (1) over the channel results in Eq. (2), which has two unknowns \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \) and \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp \). Since we have two of these unknown parameters, we decided to determine the value of \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \) by first using the value of \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp \) for the smallest confined system, and using that to reverse engineer the value of \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \). Specifically, we used the initial value \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp = 1.4 \) for \( L_z = 7.5 \text{ Å} \) experimentally measured as the narrowest inter-distance between graphene walls, and we obtained \( L_\text{eff} - L_z = 0.94 \text{ Å} \) \([40]\). Assuming that the difference between \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \) and \( L_z \) remains constant for higher \( L_z \), and therefore by knowing \( L_\text{eff} \), we can determine the \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp \) for all \( L_z \). These results are shown in Fig. 3. For clarity, the reader is reminded that in Eq. (2), \( L_w \) corresponds to the length of the region where the density of water is nonzero (the distance between two blue-dashed lines in Fig. 9 in Appendix B).

\[
\int_{L_w/2}^{L_w/2} \varepsilon_\perp^{-1}(z) dz = L_\text{eff}^\perp \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp} - 1 \right) + L_w. \tag{2}
\]

Using Eq. (2), \( L_\text{eff} \) can be calculated from \( L_w \). Assuming the same values for the difference between acquired \( L_\text{eff}^\perp \) and \( L_w \) for other confinements, we can calculate \( \varepsilon_\text{eff}^\perp \) for all other water slab thicknesses. As we can observe from Fig. 3, the perpendicular component is very low and close to 1.4 in all slab thicknesses less than \( \sim 15 \text{ Å} \), and then it increases to bulk values gradually. The trend of our calculated results is in good agreement with recently reported experimental data \([42]\). Interestingly, the length scale required for the dielectric constant to converge to the bulk value is quite large (over 1000 Å), and it is much longer than that needed to converge radial and orientational correlations.

We next turn to examining the evolution of the hydrogen bond network in order to understand why the dielectric properties change under confinement. We begin first by examining how the orientation of water changes near the graphene layer. To analyze the orientation of hydrogen bonds as a function of \( z \) in the slab of water, we calculated the time-averaged \( z \) component of dipole moments for water molecules. The maximum
green indicates the high number of H-bonds in the first layer, as we expect from the H-bond network. The minimum 2-blue in Fig. 4(a) corresponds to the lack of H-bonds in the low-density region and early parts of the second layer. The minimum 2-blue has entered into the second layer (maximum 2-green), which indicates that water molecules at the early parts of the second layer create fewer H-bonds in comparison to the deeper parts of water, which indicates the presence of dangling O-H bonds.

The preceding analysis does not explain the changes in the fluctuations of the various degrees of freedom involving the solvent as it approaches the graphene layers. Toward this end, we computed the Fano factors associated with the oxygen and hydrogen atoms individually as a function of position along the z direction [see Fig. 4(b)]. The Fano factor is defined as

$$\Delta N_{\perp X}(z) = \frac{\langle N_{\perp X}(z) \rangle - \langle N_{X}(z) \rangle^2}{\langle N_{X}(z) \rangle}.$$  

Note that \(\langle \cdots \rangle\) is the time average over the whole trajectory, and \(N_{X}(z)\) is the number of atoms \(X\) (oxygen or hydrogen) at height \(z\). It is worth mentioning that several previous studies have shown that the Fano factor can be used to probe the local compressibility of water [55]. As expected, the lighter mass of hydrogen is characterized by larger Fano factors. In the first layer [maximum 1 in Fig. 4(b)], the ratio of fluctuations in the number of hydrogen atoms to fluctuations in the number of oxygen atoms is

$$\frac{\Delta N_{H,1}}{\Delta N_{O,0}} \approx 0.35 \times 0.15 = 0.05.$$  

While this ratio for the second layer [maximum 2 in Fig. 4(b)] is

$$\frac{\Delta N_{H,2}}{\Delta N_{O,0}} \approx 0.9 \times 1 = 0.9.$$  

This indicates that although fluctuations in the number of both hydrogen and oxygen atoms in the first layer are smaller than those in the second layer and the rest of water, the ratio of fluctuations for the first layer is larger than that for the remaining part of water. Consistent with the results...
FIG. 6. (a) The purple marker and $\varepsilon^{-1}_z$ maximum determine the restriction of water molecules in order to rotate out of the $x$-$y$ plane, causing a very low dielectric constant. The red area is compatible with the low-density region between the first and second layer of the water molecules. The orange zone shows the presence of dangling OH bonds, leading to higher values for $\varepsilon_z$. The slab thickness is $L_z = 24.8$ Å. (b) Inverse of the perpendicular component of the dielectric constant vs the density of charges as well as the density of particles. Region A shows the opposite behavior of $\varepsilon^{-1}_z$ and the density of charges ($\rho_x$). Region B indicates the consonant trend between $\varepsilon^{-1}_z$ and $\rho_x$. The water thickness is $L_z = 12.5$ Å. The diagrams for $\rho_x$ and $\rho_{\text{w}}$ have been scaled up via dividing the values by 20 and 2500, respectively.

Presented in the previous sections, in the first layer, hydrogen atoms can rotate around oxygens (as mostly fixed points) in order to align the water molecule along the direction of the applied field. However, this behavior is reversed in bulk water, where the whole water molecule tends to rotate around a point on the dipole vector.

The molecular signatures of water’s hydrogen bond network near the graphene surface have profound implications on the dielectric constant and how it evolves as a function of distance from the graphene surface. Consistent with recent studies [36], the most energetically favorable and therefore most probable orientations of water molecules close to graphene tend to have their dipole oriented parallel to the solid surface, creating a network of H-bonds at the graphene-water interface. This hydrogen-bond network cannot be aligned along the perpendicular directed external field for the response, and as a result, an overscreening layer is created for the external electric field.

As can be seen from Fig. 2, there are a set of maxima and minima close to the interface of water. The first maximum in $\varepsilon^{-1}_z(z)$ is related to the first layer of water [the area indicated by purple in Fig. 6(a)]. This maximum can be assigned to a network of H-bonds in water molecules mostly parallel to the graphene sheets. This layer is responsible for screening effects, and interrupting this network via an external field is energetically unfavorable. Another consequence of this screening effect is the diverging or more intensively the negative values for $\varepsilon_{\perp}(z)$ (the first minima at the interfaces in Figs. 2 and 6) for $\varepsilon^{-1}_z$ close to the surface of water.

To dig deeper into the origins of the oscillations of the dielectric constant, we investigated the coupling between the mass and charge density of particles as shown in Fig. 9. We begin by examining the evolution in the mass density. Due to the separation of the first layer with the rest of the water molecules, there is a low-density region as thin as 2 Å between the first and second layers, which is mostly filled with hydrogen atoms rather than oxygen ones. This region is shown schematically in Fig. 5 in the yellow region. Because of the lack of water molecules in this region for the response to the external electric field, $\varepsilon^{-1}_z$ reaches its maximum value in comparison to the other maxima in the graph [red area in Fig. 6(a)]. It can be concluded that water molecules in the second layer cannot make enough H-bonds with the first layer. As a result, they are left with dangling OH bonds as shown in Fig. 5. Since these dangling OH bonds have more freedom to rotate, especially out of the $x$-$y$ plane, they can respond to the external field stronger than OH bonds in the first layer, which are involved in a robust hydrogen-bond network. This higher response leads to higher values of $\varepsilon_z$. A few more tenths of an angstrom further into the bulk after the second maximum in the density of particles, $\varepsilon_z$ reaches a maximum. This is in agreement with the existence of dangling OH bonds in the second layer [orange area in Fig. 6(a) and blue dashed rectangle in Fig. 5]. Note that this minimum in $\varepsilon^{-1}_z$ occurs for all thicknesses of the water slab considered in our work and thus appears to be a generic phenomenon.

In addition to the mass density, there are also some interesting features involving the coupling between the charge density and the dielectric constant. In the vicinity of the graphene sheets, the changes of the charge density and the $\varepsilon^{-1}_z$ are anticorrelated, while in the bulk they are correlated (see Fig. 6, marked as A and B, respectively). This indicates that in the first layer, oxygen atoms can hardly participate in the dielectric response due to their heavier mass and rigidity in movement through the hydrogen-bond network, as explained earlier.

A simple picture of the configuration is that each oxygen atom can host two H-bonds in addition to the two covalent bonds with the hydrogen atoms within the water molecule. Thus, oxygen atoms are involved in the creation of four H-bonds. In contrast, hydrogen atoms can only be involved between two oxygen atoms in an H-bond. Hence, out-of-plane movement of oxygen atoms can destruct the H-bond network more effectively than hydrogen’s out-of-plane rotation. Therefore, the rotation of hydrogen toward the graphene layer around oxygen atoms is the dominant response mechanism to the external field in region A. In contrast, in the second layer as well as the rest of the water, the charges in the charge density and $\varepsilon^{-1}_z$ are consonant. This reflects the fact that the rotation of both oxygen and hydrogen atoms together around the center of mass of the water molecule contributes to the response mechanism. Due to the absence of an H-bond network here, this rotation can occur without the energetically unfavorable disturbing of any H-bond network. Therefore, since the center of mass of a water molecule is closer to the oxygen atom than the hydrogen atom, the response to the external field becomes consonant with the presence of oxygen atoms (Fig. 6).

B. Dynamical properties

In the previous section, we have focused on identifying static molecular signatures of the hydrogen-bond network.
in order to correlate them with the behavior in the dielectric constant. We move next to understanding and searching for whether these features are also reflected in the dynamic quantities. Specifically, we focus on two dynamical properties, namely the residence time and the diffusivity. We have followed the residence time of water molecules for different layers of confined water. The definition of the residence time correlation function is given by the following equation, as was done in numerous previous studies [56–58]:

$$N_w(t) = \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{n=1}^{N_i} \sum_{i=1}^{i} P_i(t_n, t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

in which the conditional probability $P_i(t_n, t)$ is 1 if the $i$th water molecule remains in the selected region in $t_n$ and $t_n + t$ time interval, otherwise it is zero. $N_i$ is the number of time-frames with length $t$ in which we calculate the survival probabilities. Here, we have estimated different selected layers of water based on the density profiles. Typically, the first layer of water calculated from one of the graphene sheets relates to the first maximum of the particle density diagram. We have considered $\sim 3$ Å as the thickness of a single layer of water, in which the maximum value of the particle density is in the center of the selected region for each layer. As a result of planar hydrogen-bond networks, we expect that the layered structure of water mostly exists at the interface. Therefore, we have selected the first, second, and other possible layers of the water slab, and we compared them with a segment that has the same thickness in the middle part of the confined system. We also compared these results with a similar segment in pure SPC/E water without confinement.

As can be seen from Fig. 7, the residence time of confined water molecules at the interface (green and purple lines) is more than the residence time of molecules in the bulk (dashed yellow and dashed-dotted black lines). This indicates that the strength of H-bonds in the first layer’s hydrogen network is higher than that in areas closer to the bulk, and water molecules tend to stay at this layer for a longer period of time.

This observation is in agreement with our earlier observations of the existence of a strong H-bond network in this layer. To obtain more quantitative measures on the timescales associated with water exchange in the different layers near graphene and how it compares to the bulk, we found that they could be fit to a maximum of two exponential functions of the following form:

$$N_w(t) = n_1 e^{-t/\tau_1} + n_2 e^{-t/\tau_2} + n_p.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Here, $n_p$ is the number of water molecules that permanently and continuously are in the selected region. We have summarized the data for the system with $L_z = 24.8$ Å in Table I.

As can be seen from Table I (and from Tables II, III, and IV in Appendix B), one needs two exponential terms to describe the behavior of residence time for the first and second layers. This can be attributed to two populations of water molecules, one that is involved in a stronger hydrogen-bond network and another that is more labile, as discussed in the previous section. As one moves from the interface to the bulk, there is a reduction in the residence time by about a factor of 4, which is consistent with the notion that the bulk region of water is characterized by weaker hydrogen bonds. It should also be stressed that the slow-down in the water dynamics is not so drastic in the sense that the graphene-water interface is still a very dynamic system on the 10s of picosecond timescale. Similar features have also been observed using ab initio molecular dynamics simulations of water near graphene by Chandra et al. [59].

To understand better how the translational motion of a water molecule changes near the graphene surface, we computed the translational diffusivity from the mean-square displacement of the oxygen atoms of the water as described by the following canonical equation:

$$D_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\langle r_{\alpha}^n(t)^2 - r_{\alpha}^n(0)^2 \rangle}{t}, \hspace{1cm} \alpha = x, y,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $r_{\alpha}^n$ is the position of the $n$th particle, $N$ is the number of particles, and $t$ is time. The time interval of 50 ps was chosen in acquiring the slope of MSD versus time that gives the diffusion constant. For our analysis, we found that the linear regime could be fit between XX and YY picoseconds in order to extract the diffusion constant.

Overall, Fig. 8(a) shows that the lateral diffusivity is higher at the interface compared to the bulk. Although the water molecules have a larger residence time at the interface, they are not rigid and exhibit enhanced mobility. This result is also consistent with previous studies [40,60–65] simulating water near graphene surfaces. We propose that the higher diffusivity of water molecules under confinement is due the motion of the entire hydrogen bond network in the horizontal plane and not because of the movement of water molecules as single units. This collective movement of water molecules is due to the unfavorable energy that is needed for one single molecule to leave the hydrogen bond network, as one would need to break an enthalpically stabilized strong hydrogen bond interaction. Therefore, water molecules tend to keep their collective configuration as planar clusters at the interface during diffusion. The distance that water molecules in a cluster travel is more than the distance of a single water molecule motion in the
bulb. This causes the higher lateral diffusion constants for water molecules at the interfaces.

To understand the underlying mechanism associated with the enhanced lateral diffusion, we computed the time-averaged $z$ component of fluctuation in the center of mass of the whole system, which is shown in Fig. 8(a) for different slab thicknesses. The center of mass of the system is removed from $\sigma_{z,\text{com}}^2$. We found that the behavior of the variance for confined systems’ center of mass along the $z$ direction as a function of water slab thickness mirrors the behavior seen in the lateral diffusion. We note that the drastic decrease in $\sigma_{z,\text{com}}^2$ for $L_z = 7.5$ Å is due to the extreme confinement for the single layer of water molecules in the slab to move along the $z$ direction. We also point out that all the values of $\sigma_{z,\text{com}}^2$ for all confined systems are about ten times less than $\sigma_{z,\text{com}}^2$ for the bulk water without confinement, that is, $3.47 \times 10^{-5}$ nm$^2$.

We can also relate the trends observed in the lateral diffusion to commensurability between the space required for the entire layer of water molecules to be embedded in the confined channel. The effect of commensurability has been observed in the study of other dynamical properties of confined water [26,66–90]. The oscillatory behavior of lateral diffusion in Fig. 8(a) for the channel widths below $\sim 30$ Å is due to the commensurability for early water layers at the interface. This commensurability determines the hopping of water molecules among early surface layers for a slab with a fixed density. Some specific channel sizes, for example with a certain ratio of water molecules in the first and second layer as well as the rest of water, cause a minimum fluctuation in the $z$ component in the center of mass of water. These channels are consistent with minimum interlayer water molecule hopping and, as discussed previously, minimum lateral diffusion. The same trend is true for other commensurabilities. This oscillatory behavior has been followed experimentally in atomic force microscopy (AFM) experiments [26,67,82,83] in which the solvation force varies with a period close to water molecule size, which is consistent with our computed period of oscillations. Indeed, the AFM results show that the dynamics of confined water depend significantly on the exact confining cavity size, which can determine the extent to which the water slab is commensurate with the water molecule thickness [84,91–94].

Here, we propose that when the number of water molecules hopping is larger, there will be a larger region for the clusters of water molecules in the early layers to move in the parallel direction to the graphene walls. After leaving a water molecule from a primary layer at the interface, adjacent water clusters in that planar layer fill the empty region. As a result, when the number of water molecules hopping among the early

---

### TABLE I. Residence time results for $L_z = 24.8$ Å distance between graphene sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated layers</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ps)</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>$n_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First layer</td>
<td>18.44</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>450.61</td>
<td>23.88</td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second layer</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>395.16</td>
<td>53.22</td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third layer</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td></td>
<td>401.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle layer (close to</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>400.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bulk)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure SPC/E water</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td></td>
<td>445.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### TABLE II. Residence time results for $L_z = 12.4$ Å distance between graphene sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated layers</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ps)</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>$n_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First layer</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>441.55</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second layer</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>360.80</td>
<td>41.82</td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
layers is larger, the lateral diffusion increases. In contrast, when the number of water molecules hopping is small, the hydrogen bond networks are mostly compact next to each other and harder to move. This happens for the channel size of $L_z = 15.4$ Å, in which the fluctuations in the $z$ component of the center of mass are minimum among confined water slabs with a thickness of less than $\sim 30$ Å. Hence, both the hydrogen-bond networks and hopping between layers are deterministic factors to define the behavior of the lateral diffusion of water in confined channels. Furthermore, in Fig. 8(b) the residence time $\tau_1$ and $\sigma_{z,\text{com}}^2$ are compared. In agreement with previous discussions, these two quantities have opposite behavior, meaning that as the number of interlayer hopping at the interface is higher for the slab thickness $L_z$ (higher $\sigma_{z,\text{com}}^2$), the residence time of water molecules for the first layer is smaller. Therefore, interfacial water molecules in the slab with higher $\sigma_{z,\text{com}}^2$ have a greater tendency to leave their initial layers to hop to another layer.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigated the dielectric permittivity profile of water in slab and confined geometry between two parallel and neutral graphene layers. We considered the profile of $\varepsilon_{\perp}(z)$ as a function of height $z$ in confined systems, and we found new relations between this quantity and the density of charges as well as fluctuations in the number of hydrogen and oxygen atoms per each atom separately as a function of height $z$ in the channel. These findings led us to discover molecular scale differences in the types of motion and responses between hydrogen and oxygen atoms at the interface and in the bulk. Also, we followed the details in the changes of the dielectric response and water layer configurations, in which we can locate the dangling H-bonds in the region between the first and second layers of water.

Furthermore, we showed that the effective perpendicular dielectric constant decreases drastically when the distance between two graphene sheets decreases and reaches a value $\sim 1.4$ in most extreme confined systems. The merging of the perpendicular dielectric constant with the bulk value of the SPC/E water model happens for thicknesses that are larger than our expectation of the water slab that should be in the bulk regions. The perpendicular dielectric constants in this work are in agreement with recent experimental data, in which the capacity model for determining the effective dielectric constant has been used among AFM measurements.

We show, by computing the residence time of water in different layers, that water molecules tend to remain in the first layer more than other parts of the channels, which is consistent with the existence of strong H-bonds in the hydration shell. Therefore, molecules in the second layer cannot make significant H-bonds with the first layer, creating dangling O-H bonds directed into the low-density region. The third layer and middle segment of water slabs have the same residence time in comparison to the pure SPC/E model. This demonstration is in agreement with a small population fluctuation per number of atoms for both hydrogen and oxygen at the interfaces.

Finally, we also determined the lateral self-diffusion constant of water under confinement, and we showed that for almost all channel thicknesses it is higher than the bulk value of the water. Therefore, in spite of the confinements, water molecules can diffuse in collective motions at the interface, causing higher values of lateral diffusivity. The key factor in determining the lateral diffusivity in confined structures for a fixed density is the number of water molecules hopping among the layers at the interface. The residence time and the fluctuation in the center of mass of water as a function of slab thickness have anticorrelated behavior, in agreement with the number of water molecules hopping for the interface layers. Finally, we believe that the insights into the behavior of water at the interface presented here can be followed in different systems among various disciplines, such as physics, geophysics, surface science, chemistry, and molecular biology.
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### APPENDIX A: REVIEW OF LINEAR-RESPONSE THEORY OF THE DIELECTRIC CONSTANT IN CONFINED SYSTEMS

Here, we review the theory of linear response and fluctuation-dissipation for the dielectric function for slab

---

**TABLE III.** Residence time results for $L_z = 16.4$ Å distance between graphene sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated layers</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ps)</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>$n_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First layer</td>
<td>18.52</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>448.36</td>
<td>20.59</td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second layer</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>398.05</td>
<td>51.61</td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE IV.** Residence time results for $L_z = 42.8$ Å distance between graphene sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated layers</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ps)</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>$n_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First layer</td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>461.9</td>
<td>18.38</td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second layer</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>407.68</td>
<td>52.71</td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third layer</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td></td>
<td>421.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle layer (close to bulk)</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td></td>
<td>423.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sim$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 9. Molecular (left) and charge (right) densities of confined water in channels with different heights. Dashed lines in gray and blue indicate the position of graphene and water, respectively. The particle density indicates the layered structure of water under confinement, and it also indicates a dense layer in the first layer of water closest to graphene surfaces. Extreme reduction in the density of particles after the first maximum on both sides of the diagrams shows the low-density region with a thickness of \(\sim 2\ \text{Å}\) between the first and the second layers of water. The diagrams for the density of charges reveal more tendency of hydrogen atoms to be near graphene sheets. This demonstrates that the total charges in the low-density region between the first and the second layer of water are positive, following the presence of dangling O-H bonds in these regions. The water slabs in the figure are (a) \(L_z = 7.5\ \text{Å}\), (b) \(L_z = 8.5\ \text{Å}\), and (c) \(L_z = 16.4\ \text{Å}\).

geometries such as our system of study \([25,34,35,39,40]\). The change in dielectric displacement field is related linearly to the change of electric field in the linear-response regime via the equation

\[
\Delta D = \varepsilon_0 \int \Delta E(r') \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{nl}}(r, r') \, dr',
\]

where \(\varepsilon_0\) is vacuum permittivity, \(E(r')\) is a local electric field, and \(\varepsilon_{\text{nl}}(r, r')\) is a nonlocal dielectric tensor. A constant electric field in a homogeneous system results in a local response function as a product of the permittivity tensor. Change in the electric field is given by

\[
\Delta E = \varepsilon_0^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1}(r) \cdot \Delta D,
\]

in which \(\varepsilon^{-1}(r)\) is the inverse of the dielectric tensor. For the perpendicular component of the electric field and the dielectric displacement field, we obtain the following similar equations \([25,34,35,39,40]\):

\[
\Delta E_{\perp}(z) = \varepsilon_0^{-1} \varepsilon_{\perp}^{-1}(z) \Delta D_{\perp}.
\]

The electric field is separated into the displacement field, \(D(r)\), for monopole terms in the integral of the electric field and the polarization \(m(r)\) for all higher multipoles of the electric field, yielding \(\varepsilon_0 E(r) = D(r) - m(r)\) as given by \([35]\)

\[
\varepsilon_0 E(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \rho(r') \frac{r - r'}{|r - r'|^3} \, dr',
\]

\[
\varepsilon_0 E = D - m,
\]
where \( \rho(r) \) is the total charge density. The definition of the total polarization \( M \) is

\[
M = \int_V m(r) \, dr. \tag{A8}
\]

The integral is over the volume of \( V \). Through fluctuation-dissipation theory, in the presence of an external homogeneous electric field \( F \), the change in the polarization is defined by [35]

\[
\Delta m(r) = \langle m(r) \rangle_F - \langle m(r) \rangle_0. \tag{A9}
\]

In the form of the ensemble average,

\[
\Delta m(r) = \frac{\int (m - \langle m \rangle_0) \exp[-\beta(U - M \cdot F)] \, d\mathcal{P}}{\int \exp[-\beta(U - M \cdot F)] \, d\mathcal{P}}, \tag{A10}
\]

where \( d\mathcal{P} = \prod_i \, dr_i \, dq_i \) denotes the integration on the phase region over all directions and positions, indices 0 and \( F \) denote the absence and presence of an external field, respectively. This equation can be linearized for a small magnitude of \( F \) (in the linear-response regime) to be [34]

\[
\Delta m(r) \approx [\langle m(r) \rangle_0 - \langle m(r) \rangle_0] \cdot F. \tag{A11}
\]

APPENDIX B: COMPLEMENTARY DATA

In this Appendix, we show the layered structure of water in confined slab geometry via the density of particles and charges as a function of \( z \) in the direction perpendicular to the graphene surfaces (Fig. 9).

Here, we show the dielectric profile of water in the perpendicular direction for different values of \( dz \) in order to show that the changes in the perpendicular dielectric component are independent of the \( dz \) value. We can see from the diagram in Fig. 10 that the trends of dielectric permittivity in the \( z \) direction are the same for four different choices of \( dz \).

In Tables II, III, and IV, we present more data on the fitting of residence time and Eq. (5) for different slab thicknesses.
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